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## RESUMEN

The controlled object $x^{\prime}=A x+u, u \in U$, of the second order with complex eigenvalues is considered. The real part of the eigenvalues are assumed to be positive. The control region $U$ is a convex polygon with the origin in its interior. The the controllability region $\Sigma$ is an open, convex, bounded set in the state plane $R^{2}$.

In the article it is proved that each tajectory $x(t)$ starting from a point $x_{0} \notin \Sigma$ and satisfying the maximum principle is in a sense, the most slowly going away trajectory. This idea is formulated exactly with the help of Bellman function and justified. This explains the meaning of the maximum principle outside of the controllability region.

## I. Introduction

Consider a linear controlled object of the second order

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{\prime}=A x+u, \quad u \in U \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
A=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a_{1}^{1} & a_{2}^{1} \\
a_{1}^{2} & a_{2}^{2}
\end{array}\right)
$$

is a matrix with constant elements; $x=\left(x^{1}, x^{2}\right)^{T} \in R^{2}$ is the state vector of the object (it is contravariant, i.e., a column vector), and the control region $U$ is a convex polygon containing the origin in its interior. The equation (1) can be written in the coordinate form:

$$
x^{\prime i}=\sum_{j=1,2} a_{j}^{i} x^{j}+u^{i}, \quad i=1,2 .
$$

A control $u(t), t_{0} \leq t \leq t_{1}$, is admissible, if it is piecewise continuous and $u(t) \in U$ for all $t, t_{0} \leq t \leq t_{1}$. We always assume that the admissible controls are right continuous, i.e., $u(t)=u(t+0)$ for $t_{0} \leq t \leq t_{1}$, and moreover, $u\left(t_{1}\right)=u\left(t_{1}-0\right)$.

Let $u(t), t_{0} \leq t \leq t_{1}$ be an admissible control and $x_{0} \in R^{2}$ be a given initial point. The solution $x(t), t_{0} \leq t \leq t_{1}$, of the equation $x^{\prime}=A x+u(t)$ with the initial condition $x\left(t_{0}\right)=x_{0}$ is said to be the trajectory corresponding to the control $u(t)$ with the initial point $x_{0}$. We say that the control $u(t), t_{0} \leq t \leq t_{1}$, transits the initial point $x_{0}$ to the terminal state $x\left(t_{1}\right)$. Every trajectory is continuous; moreover, it is differentiable for all $t$ except a finite number of moments $t$.

We consider the time-optimal problem. To transit the given initial point $x_{0}$ to the origin in the shortest time by an admissible control. The control and the trajectory which solve this problem are said to be time-optimal (or optimal).

We formulate the fundamental facts of the linear optimal control theory $[1,2]$ for the considered object (1). Assume that the control region $U$ is situated in the general position with respect to the matrix $A$. In other words, for every edge $[p, q$ ] of the convex polygon $U$, the vector $q-p$ is not an eigenvector of the matrix $A$.

We denote by $\Sigma_{\infty}$ the controllability region, i.e., the set of all initial points $x_{0}$ which can be transited to the origin by an admissible control. The set $\Sigma_{\infty} \subset R^{2}$ is open and convex. For every point $x_{0} \in \Sigma_{\infty}$ there is the unique optimal process $u(t), x(t)$, transiting $x_{0}$ to the origin. Moreover, the optimal control $u(t)$ is piecewise constant, takes its values only at the vertices of the polygon $U$, and has a finite number of switchings, i.e., a finite number of intervals of constancy.

To find optimal controls, consider the conjugate equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi^{\prime}=-\psi A \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\psi=\left(\psi_{1}, \psi_{2}\right)$ is an auxiliary covariant vector (i.e., a line vector).
The equation (2) has the coordinate form

$$
\psi_{j}^{\prime}=-\sum_{i=1,2} \psi_{i} a_{j}^{i}, \quad j=1,2
$$

A control $u(t), t_{0} \leq t \leq t_{1}$, is said to satisfy the maximum condition, if there exists a nontrivial solution $\psi(t)$ of equation (2) such that for the scalar product $\langle\psi(t), u\rangle=\psi_{1}(t) u^{1}+\psi_{2}(t) u^{2}$, the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(t) \arg \max _{u \in U}\langle\psi(t), u\rangle, \quad t_{0} \leq t \leq t_{1}, \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$
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holds, i.e., $\langle\psi(t), u(t)\rangle=\max _{u \in U}\langle\psi(t), u\rangle$. Now the maximum principle affirms: An admissible control $u(t), t_{0} \leq t \leq t_{1}$, transiting $x_{0}$ to the origin, is optimal if and only if it satifies the maximum condition (3) with respect to a nontrivial solution $\psi(t)$ of equation (2).

Let now $X(t)$ be the principal matrix solution of the homogeneous equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{\prime}=A x, \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

i.e., $X^{\prime}(t)=A X(t)$ and $X(0)=I$, the identity matrix. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
x(t)=X\left(t-t_{0}\right)\left(x_{0}+\int_{t_{0}}^{t} X^{-1}\left(s-t_{0}\right) u(s) d s\right), \quad t_{0} \leq t \leq t_{1} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

is the trajectory corresponding to the control $u(t), t_{0} \leq t \leq t_{1}$, and the initial point $x_{0}$.

In the sequel, we consider the case when the matrix $A$ has two complex eigenvalues $\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}$ with positive real parts. In this case the general position condition for $U$ is satisfied, since $A$ has no real one-dimensional invariant subspaces. Then the origin is a nonstable singular point (a nonstable focus) for the corresponding homogeneous system (4) and the controllability region $\Sigma_{\infty}$ is a bounded, open, convex set in $R^{2}$.

## II. The Optimal Synthesis

Denote by $w_{1}, \ldots, w_{q}$ the vertices of the polygon $U$, following its counter counterclockwise (figure 1). First we consider the case when the matrix $A$ has the special form:

$$
A=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
a & -b \\
b & a
\end{array}\right)
$$

with positive $a, b$, i.e., we consider the controlled object

$$
\begin{align*}
& x^{1^{\prime}}=a x^{1}-b x^{2}+u^{1},  \tag{6}\\
& x^{2^{\prime}}=b x^{1}+a x^{2}+u^{2} .
\end{align*}
$$

In this case the eigenvalues of the matrix $A$ are $\lambda_{1}=a+b i$ and $\lambda_{2}=a-b i$. The general solution $x(t)$ of the corresponding homogeneous system

$$
\begin{align*}
& x^{1^{\prime}}=a x^{1}-b x^{2},  \tag{7}\\
& x^{2^{\prime}}=b x^{1}+a x^{2},
\end{align*}
$$

has the form:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x^{1}(t)=c e^{a t} \cos (b t+\theta), \\
& x^{2}(t)=c e^{a t} \sin (b t+\theta),
\end{aligned}
$$

$c>0$ and $\theta$ being constant parameters. Passing to the polar coordinates, we rewrite this general solution in the form:

$$
\begin{align*}
r & =c e^{a t}  \tag{8}\\
\psi & =b t+\theta
\end{align*}
$$

It follows the polar angle $\psi$ moves in the course of time $t$ uniformly with the velocity $b$. In other words, the ray, emanating from the origin and containing the point $x(t)$, rotates counterclockwise with the angular velocity $b$ radian/second.

Excepts for the time $t$, we obtain the polar equation of the phase trajectory (8) in the form of a logarithmic spiral

$$
\begin{equation*}
r=K e^{\frac{a}{b} \psi} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $K=c e^{-\frac{a}{b} \theta}$ is a positive constant, and the phase point moves counterclockwise along this trajectory. The phase portrait is an unstable focus (figure 2).

From (9) we deduce an imporant property of the phase trajectories: Every two phase trajectories of the system (7) are obtained from each other by a homothety with the center at the origin and a positive radio.

We now call our attention to the system (6) that differs from (7) by the presence of summands $u^{1}, u^{2}$.

For every point $u$ of the plane $R^{2}$ we denote by $v$ the point whose coor-
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dinates satisfy the relations

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a v^{1}-b v^{2}+u^{1}=0 \\
& b v^{1}+a v^{2}+u^{2}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

The point $v$ is well-defined by $u$, since the linear system has nonzero determinant $a^{2}+b^{2}$. The passing $u \underset{a}{\longrightarrow} v$ is a rotary dilation, i.e., the composition of a rotation with the center at the origin and homothety with the center at the origin. We denote it by $g$, i.e., $v=g(u)$. The rotation $g$ maps the polygon $U$ onto the polygon $V$ with the vertices

$$
v_{1}=g\left(w_{1}\right), \ldots, v_{q}=g\left(w_{q}\right)
$$

Let $u(t), t_{0} \leq t \leq t_{1}$, be an optimal control for the controlled object (6), i.e., $u(t)$ satisfies the maximum condition with respect to a nontrivial solution $\psi(t)$ of the conjugate system. Then, by the maximum principle, $u(t)$ is a piecewise constant and takes its values at the vertices of the polygon $U$. Let, in a time-segment, the optimal control $u(t)$ take the value $u=w_{i}$. Then in this time-segment, the phase point moves under the equations:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x^{1^{\prime}}=a x^{1}-b x^{2}+w_{i}^{1}, \\
& x^{2^{\prime}}=b x^{1}+a x^{2}+w_{i}^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

By the definition of the similarity $g$, this system can be rewritten in the form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d t}\left(x^{1}-v_{i}^{1}\right)=a\left(x^{1}-v_{i}^{1}\right)-b\left(x^{2}-v_{i}^{2}\right), \tag{10a}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d t}\left(x^{2}-v_{i}^{2}\right)=b\left(x^{1}-v_{i}^{1}\right)+a\left(x^{2}-v_{i}^{2}\right) \tag{10b}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus if $u=w_{i}$ in a time-segment, then the corresponding piece of the trajectory is obtained from the corresponding piece of the trajectory for the homogeneous system (7) by the translation with the vector $v_{i}$.


Figura 3.
We draw the rays emanating from the origin and having the directions defined by the outward normals of the polygon $U$ (figure 3). Denote by $\alpha_{i}$ the angle between the rays which have the directions of outward normals for the sides adjoining the vertex $w_{i}$.
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Let now $\psi$ be a nonzero vector situated in the interior of the angle $\alpha_{i}$. Then $\psi$ forms obtuse angles with both the sides of $U$ adjoining the vertex $w_{i}$ (figure 4). It follows that the scalar product $\langle\psi, u\rangle$ takes its maximal value over $u \in U$ at the vertex $w_{i}$.

The conjugate system for (6) has the form:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \psi_{1}^{\prime}=-a \psi_{1}-b \psi_{2} \\
& \psi_{2}^{\prime}=b \psi_{1}-a \psi_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Its general solution $\psi(t)$ has the following coordinate form:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \psi_{1}(t)=c^{\prime} e^{-a t} \cos \left(b t+\theta^{\prime}\right) \\
& \psi_{2}(t)=c^{\prime} e^{-a t} \sin \left(b t+\theta^{\prime}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $c^{\prime}, \theta^{\prime}$ are constants. Consequently the vector $\psi(t)$ rotates counterclockwise with the angular velocity $b$ radian/second (a variation of its length is for us unessential). In other words, the vector $\psi(t)$ is changed in the following way. During the time $\alpha_{i} / b$ it is situated in the angle $\alpha_{i}$, then during the time $\alpha_{i+1} / b$ it is situated in the angle $\alpha_{i+1}$, then during the time $\alpha_{i+2} / b$ in the angle $\alpha_{i+2}$, etc. We consider here the indices $i, i+1, i+2, \ldots$ as residues modulo $q$, i.e., if for example $i=q$, then $i+1=1, i+2=2$, etcetera.

Now the view of optimal control $u(t)$ is clear: during the time $\alpha_{i} / b$ the control $u$ takes he value $w_{i}$, then during the time $\alpha_{i+1} / b$ it takes the value $w_{i+1}$, then during the time $\alpha_{i+2} / b$ it takes the value $w_{i+2}$, etc. Finally the corresponding optimal trajectory (that which satisfies the maximum condition) has the following character: during the time $\leq \alpha_{i} / b$ the phase point moves under the system $(10)_{i}$, then during the time $\alpha_{i+1} / b$ it moves under the system (10) ${ }_{i+1}$, then during the time $\alpha_{i+2} / b$ it moves under the system $(10)_{i+2}$ and so on; at last the phase point moves during the time $\leq \alpha_{j} / b$ under the system $(10)_{j}$ until it arrives at the origin. We put the sign $\leq$ for the first and the last pieces of the optimal trajectory, since the movement may start at a moment distinct from a switching and may be ended (by arriving to the origin) before the next switching.

We now remark that the arc of the trajectory for the system (7) running during the time $\alpha / b$ is visible from the origin at the angle of $\alpha$ (figure 5). This follows immediatly from the second equality (8).

Consequently the arc of the trajectory $(10)_{i}$ running during the time $\alpha / b$ is also visible from the point $v_{i}=g\left(w_{i}\right)$ at the angle of $\alpha$.

Now every optimal trajectory may be described in the following way. The phase point moves along an arc of the system $(10)_{i}$ visible from $v_{i}$ at an angle $\leq \alpha_{i}$; then the phase point describes an arc of $(10)_{i+1}$ visible from $v_{i+1}$ at the angle $\alpha_{i+1}$, then it describes an arc of $(10)_{i+2}$ visible from $v_{i+2}$ at the angle $\alpha_{i+2}$, and at last the phase point describes an arc of the system
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$(10)_{j}$ visible from $v_{j}$ at an angle $\leq \alpha_{j}$ and arrives at the origin. Conversely, every phase trajectory of this form is optimal.

We now are able to construct the synthesis of optimal trajectories. Denote by $B_{j}^{(0)}$ the arc of the system $(10)_{j}$ that terminates at the origin and is visible from $v_{j}$ at the angle $\alpha_{j}, j=1,2, \ldots, q$ (figure 6 ). We obtain $q \operatorname{arcs}$ $B_{1}^{(0)}, \ldots, B_{q}^{(0)}$ (figure 7). Let now $x(t)$ be an optimal trajectory and $u(t)$ be the corresponding optimal control. The last piece $x^{(0)}$ of the optimal trajectory $x(t)$ is situated in one of the $\operatorname{arcs} B_{j}^{(0)}$, i.e., this last piece is a part of $B_{j}^{(0)}$ from a point $a_{j}^{(0)} \in B_{j}^{(0)}$ till the origin. At the moment when $x(t)$ passes through the point $a_{j}^{(0)}$ the optimal control $u(t)$ has switching from $u=w_{j-1}$ to $u=w_{j}$. This means that before this moment the phase point $x(t)$ moved under the system $(10)_{j-1}$ during the time $\alpha_{j-1} / b$. In other

words, the previous piece $x^{(1)}$ of the optimal trajectory $x(t)$ is the arc of $(10)_{j-1}$ terminating at the point $a_{j}^{(0)}$ and visible from $v_{j-1}$ at the angle $\alpha_{j-1}$. We denote the initial point of this arc $x^{(1)}$ by $a_{j-1}^{(1)}$. As the point $a_{j}^{(0)}$ runs over $B_{j}^{(0)}$ the $\operatorname{arcs} x^{(1)}$ fil in a "curvilinear quadrangle" (figure 8) whose two sides are $B_{j}^{(0)}, B_{j-1}^{(0)}$. We denote this quadrangle by $Q_{j-1}^{(1)}$ and its side oposite $B_{j}^{(0)}$ by $B_{j-1}^{(1)}$. The arc $B_{j-1}^{(1)}$ is the set of all points $a_{j-1}^{(1)}$ at which the switching from $(10)_{j-2}$ to $(10)_{j-1}$ takes place.
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Denote by $h_{i}$ the homothety with the center $v_{i}$ and ratio $e^{-a \alpha_{i} / b}$. By $r_{i}$ denote the rotation at the angle $\alpha_{i}$ clockwise with the center $v_{i}$. The composition $p_{i}=h_{i}$ convol $r_{i}$ is a rotary dilition with fixpoint $v_{i}$. It is easily shown that the $\operatorname{arc} B_{j-1}^{(1)}$ is obtained from $B_{j}^{(0)}$ by the transformation $p_{j-1}$ (since, by (8), the point $a_{j-1}^{(1)}$ is obtained from $a_{j}^{(0)}$ under the transformation $p_{j-1}$.

Before the switching at the point $a_{j-1}^{(1)}$ the phase point $x(t)$ moved under the system $(10)_{j-2}$. The corresponding arc $x^{(2)}$ is visible from the point $v_{j-2}$ at the angle $\alpha_{j-2}$ (figure 9). The initial point $a_{j-2}^{(2)}$ of this arc is obtained from $a_{j-1}^{(1)}$ by the transformation $p_{j-2}$. As the point $a_{j-1}^{(1)}$ runs over $B_{j-1}^{(1)}$ the $\operatorname{arcs} x^{(2)}$ fill in a "curvilinear quadrangle". We denote this "quadrangle" by $Q_{j-2}^{(2)}$ and its side opposite $B_{j-1}^{(1)}$ by $B_{j-2}^{(2)}$. The arc $B_{j-2}^{(2)}$ is the set of all points $a_{j-2}^{(2)}$ at which the switching from $(10)_{j-3}$ to $(10)_{j-2}$ takes place and this arc $B_{j-2}^{(2)}$ is the image of $B_{j-1}^{(1)}$ under the transformation $p_{j-2}$.
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Continuing in this way, we obtain $q$ switching curves $F_{1}, \ldots, F_{q}$, where $F_{i}=B_{i}^{(0)} \cup B_{i}^{(1)} \cup B_{i}^{(2)} \cup \cdots$. The transformation $p_{i}$ maps the curve $F_{i+1}$ onto the curve $B_{i}^{(1)} \cup B_{i}^{(2)} \cup \cdots \subset F_{i}$. This allows to obtain successively the pieces of the switching curves $F_{1}, \ldots, F_{q}$ from their initial pieces $B_{1}^{(0)} \cdots B_{q}^{(0)}$.

The homothety $h_{i}$ has ratio $e^{-a \alpha_{i} / b}<1$. We put

$$
k=\operatorname{máx}\left(e^{-a \alpha_{1} / b}, \ldots, e^{-a \alpha_{q} / b}\right) .
$$

Then $0<k<1$. The arc $B_{i-1}^{(1)}$ is obtained from $B_{i}^{(0)}$ by the similarity $p_{i-1}=h_{i-1}$ convol $r_{i-1}$ with radio $\leq k$. Similarly, for any $m=1,2, \ldots$, the $\operatorname{arc} B_{j-1}^{(m+1)}$ is obtained from $B_{j}^{(m)}$ by the dilitation $p_{j-1}$ with ratio $\leq k$. Hence in the sequence

$$
B_{i}^{(0)}, B_{i-1}^{(1)}, B_{i-2}^{(2)}, \ldots, B_{i-m}^{(m)}, B_{i-m-1}^{(m+1)}, \ldots
$$

every arc is obtained from the previous one by a similarity with ratio $\leq k$. In other words, the sizes of the arcs in this sequence decrease no slower than in geometrical progression with the ratio $k$. Consequently the controllability region, i.e., the set $\Sigma \subset R^{2}$ in which the synthesis of optimal trajectories is realized, is bounded, open, and convex (figure 10).
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We remark that the control parameter $u$ takes value $w_{i}$ in the "angle" between the curves $F_{i}$, and at the points of the curve $F_{i}$. This gives the synthesis of optimal controls. The synthesis of optimal trajectories is shown in figure 11.

Consider the curve composed from $\operatorname{arcs} x^{(i-1)}, x^{(i-2)}, \ldots, x^{(i-q)}$. This curve starts at a point of the switching curve $F_{j}$ (for an index $j$ ) and arr-
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ives to another point of the same switching curve $F_{j}$ (figure 12). When the initial point $a_{j}^{(i-1)}$ of the $\operatorname{arc} x^{(i-1)}$ tends to the boundary of $\Sigma$, the curve $x^{(i-1)} \cup x^{(i-2)} \cup \cdots \cup x^{(i-q)}$ tends to the curve $C_{j}^{(\infty)} \cup C_{j+1}^{(\infty)} \cup \cdots \cup C_{j+q-1}^{(\infty)}$ which runs over the boundary of the controllability region $\Sigma$. The arc $C_{j+k}^{(\infty)}$ is a piece of the trajectory for the equation $(10)_{j+k}$, i.e., the phase point runs along the $\operatorname{arc} C_{j+k}^{(\infty)}$ with $u(t) \equiv w_{j+k}$ during the time $\alpha_{j+k} / b, k=$ $0,1, \ldots, q-1$. This means that the cycle $\mathbf{b d} \Sigma=C_{j}^{(\infty)} \cup C_{j+1}^{(\infty)} \cup \cdots \cup C_{j+q-k}^{(\infty)}$ is a closed trajectory for the controlled object (6). In other words, if we take the initial point of the $\operatorname{arc} C_{j}^{(\infty)}$ and consider the corresponding trajectory for the object (6) with $u=w_{j}$ during the time $\alpha_{j} / b$, then the trajectory of $(10)_{j+1}$ during the time $\alpha_{j+1} / b$, etc., then (after $q$ switchings) we again arrive to the initial point of the $\operatorname{arc} C_{j}^{(\infty)}$. This allows to find, uniquely, the cycle $\mathbf{b d} \Sigma=C_{j}^{(\infty)} \cup C_{j+1}^{(\infty)} \cup \cdots \cup C_{j+q-1}^{(\infty)}$.

The above reasoning shows that every optimal trajectory, which comes to the origin, approaches to the limit cycle $\mathbf{b d} \Sigma$ as $t \rightarrow-\infty$. Moreover, the limit cycle $\mathbf{b d} \Sigma$ is the closest trajectory satisfying the maximum principle.


In conclusion, we remark that the above results were considered for the controlled object (6). But, for any controlled object (1), that has complex eigenvalues with positive real parts, the synthesis of optimal trajectories is obtained from the synthesis for (6) by an affine transformation, i.e., qualitatively the portrait of the synthesis is analogous.

## III. Example
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Consider a mathematical pendulum with a negative, linear friction. We are interested in the movement of the pendulum close to its lowest equilibrium point $O$ (figure 13). The equation of the movement has the form:

$$
m \ddot{s}=m l \ddot{\psi}=-m g \sin \psi+b \dot{\psi},
$$

where $\psi$ is the deviation angle from the vertical direction, $m$ is the mass of the pendulum, $l$ is the length of the string, and $b>0$ is the coefficient of the linear, negative friction. If we consider only small values of $\psi$, the equation takes the linearized form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ddot{\psi}-2 \delta \dot{\psi}+\omega^{2} \psi=0 \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\omega=\sqrt{q / l}$ and $\delta=b / 2 m$. Introducing the phase coordinates $z^{1}=$ $\psi, z^{2}=\dot{z}^{1}=w d \psi / d t$ (the angular velocity), we rewrite the movement equation in the form:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\dot{z}^{1}=z^{2}, \\
\dot{z}^{2}=-\omega^{2} z^{1}+2 \delta z^{2} .
\end{gathered}
$$

By a suitable linear transformation of coefficients, this system can be reduced to the form (7).

The same equation (11) can be obtained, if we consider a mass point that moves under the action of a spring and a negative, linear friction.

Assume now that the mass point (or the pendulum) has two engines such that the homogeneous system (7) takes the form:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\dot{x}^{1}=a x^{1}-b x^{2}+u^{1}  \tag{12}\\
\dot{x}^{2}=b x^{1}+a x^{2}+u^{2} \\
-1 \leq u^{1} \leq 1, \quad-1 \leq u^{2} \leq 1
\end{array}\right.
$$

The system (12) is a particular case of the general system considered above. This allows to obtain the synthesis of optimal trajectories for these mechanical examples. Remark that the control region is a rectangle ( $c f$. equation 12).

## IV. The slowest going away trajectories

First of all, we reformulate the aforesaid results with the help of the Bellman function. For the linear controlled object (6) and a given initial point $x_{0}$ we denote by $\omega\left(x_{0}\right)$ the "minimal time" for transiting the point $x_{0}$ to the origin by an admissible control $u(t)$. The function $\omega\left(x_{0}\right)$ is said to be a Bellman function. If the control region $U$ is a polygon in general position with respect to the matrix $A$, then the Bellman function $\omega(x)$ is defined and continuous in the open set $\Sigma \subset R^{2}$. Moreover, the Bellman function $\omega(x)$ is differentiable everywhere in $\Sigma \backslash\left(F_{1} \cup \cdots \cup F_{q}\right)$ and satisfies the Bellman equation

$$
\max _{u \in U}\left(-\sum_{i=1}^{2} \frac{\partial \omega(x)}{\partial x^{i}}\left(A x^{i}+u^{i}\right)\right)=1
$$

i.e.,

$$
\operatorname{máx}_{u \in U}\langle-\operatorname{grad} \omega(x), A x+u\rangle=1
$$

and this maximun is obtained for every optimal process.
Moreover, for every admissible process $u(t), x(t)\left(t_{0} \leq t \leq t_{1}\right.$, with $x\left(t_{0}\right)=x_{0}, x\left(t_{1}\right)=x_{1}$ the inequality $t_{1}-t_{0} \geq \omega\left(x_{1}\right)-\omega\left(x_{0}\right)$ holds. This gives an estimate for the movement time.

We now generalize these facts for the trajectories situated in $R^{2} \backslash \Sigma$. First

we consider the object (6). Denote by $L_{i}$ the ray emanating from the origin and passing through the common point of the $\operatorname{arcs} C_{i-1}^{(\infty)}$ and $C_{i}^{(\infty)}$ (figure 14). Let $u(t), x(t)\left(t_{0} \leq t \leq t_{1}\right)$ be an admissible process satisfying the maximum principle and situated in $R^{2} \backslash \Sigma$.
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Moreover, assume that the switching from $w_{i-1}$ to $w_{i}$ is realized as the point $x(t)=a_{i}$ is situated in the ray $L_{i}$. Then, during the time $\alpha_{i} / b$ the point $x(t)$ moves with $u \equiv w_{i}$ and is situated in the angle $W_{i}$ between $L_{i}$ and $L_{i+1}$. After that we have the switching from $w_{i}$ to $w_{i+1}$, etcetera.

There exist a continuous function $\bar{\omega}(x)$ in $R^{2} \backslash \Sigma$ that is diferentiable in the interior of every angle $W_{i}$. This function satisfies the equality $\bar{\omega}\left(x\left(t_{1}\right)\right)-$ $\bar{\omega}\left(x\left(t_{0}\right)\right)=t_{1}-t_{0}$ along the above trajectory $x(t)$ for which the maximum principle holds. On the segment $\left[a_{i}, a_{i+q}\right]$ the function $\bar{\omega}(x)$ increases from a value $\bar{\omega}\left(a_{i}\right)=\omega_{i}$ till the value $\bar{\omega}\left(a_{i+q}\right)=\omega_{i}+2 \pi / b$ (since the movement from $a_{i}$ to $a_{i+q}$ is realized during the time $2 \pi / b$ ). And if the values of $\bar{\omega}(x)$ on the segment $\left[a_{i}, a_{i+q}\right]$ are fixed, then the function $\bar{\omega}(x)$ is uniquely defined everywhere on $R^{2} \backslash \Sigma$ (along the trajectories satisfying the maximum principle).

Moreover, for every trajectory $x(t), t_{0} \leq t \leq t_{1}$, of the controlled object (6) situated in $R^{2} \backslash \Sigma$, the estimate:

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{1}-t_{0} \geq \bar{\omega}\left(x\left(t_{1}\right)\right)-\bar{\omega}\left(x\left(t_{0}\right)\right) \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds. This means that the trajectory $x(t)$ runs away most slowly if in (14) the equality holds. And this is realized if and only if the trajectory $x(t)$ with the control $u(t)$ satisfies the maximum condition. In other words, inside $\Sigma$, the maximum condition means the quickest approach to the origin, whereas outside $\Sigma$ the maximum condition means the slowest running away. And the function $\bar{\omega}(x)$ satifies the relation (14) everywhere in $R^{2} \backslash \Sigma$ except for the rays $L_{1}, \ldots, L_{q}$.


Figura 15.
The formulated facts may be explained in the following way. The curves $\bar{\omega}(x)=$ const form a system of closed curves around $\mathbf{b d} \Sigma$ (figure 15). We denote the curve $\bar{\omega}(x)=\mu$ by $K_{\mu}$. Then for every trajectory $x(t)\left(t_{0} \leq t \leq\right.$ $t_{1}$, with $x\left(t_{0}\right)=x_{0} \in K_{\mu_{0}}, x\left(t_{1}\right)=x_{1} \in K_{\mu_{1}}$, we have $t_{1}-t_{0} \leq \mu_{1}-\mu_{0}$, i.e., the trajectory goes through the "ring" between $K_{\mu_{0}}$ and $K_{\mu_{1}}$ in a time $\leq \mu_{1}-\mu_{0}$. For the trajectories which satisfy the maximum condition, the equality holds.

This means exactly that the trajectories satisfying the maximum condition are slowest in their running away. Figure 14 shows that all the trajec-
tories outside $\Sigma$ are like spirals going from $\mathbf{b d} \Sigma$ to infinity, and $\bar{\omega}(x(t))$ is the "away velocity" for them.

We remark that $\omega(x) \rightarrow-\infty$ as the point $x$ approaches to $\mathbf{b d} \Sigma$, and $\omega(x) \rightarrow \infty$ as $x$ goes to infinity. Moreover, the above description of the trajectories going away more slowly was given for the controlled object (6). Up to an affine transformation, the results are the same for any controlled object (1) that has complex eigenvalues with positive real parts.
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